

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/635,994	08/09/2000	Howard Demehl	DERN-00101	5407
28960 7	11/10/2003		EXAM	INER
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP 162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			YOUNG, JOHN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3622	
		•	DATE MAILED: 11/10/2001	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 09/635,994

John Young

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

3622

Dernehl Et La.,



## Interview Summary

•

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO p	ersonnei):		
(1) John Young	(3) Myrnar Schelling		
(2) Thomas B. Haverstock	(4) Howard Dernehl And Bob Fraley		
Date of Interview			
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 46			
Identification of prior art discussed:  Ng US 6,405,175 & Morton et al. US 6,327,572			
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)\square$ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or		
<del></del>	not read on the art applied in the obviousness rejections of sa		
	ements in the viral marketing claims at issue are manifested		
by the serial number data and the URL link associated with marketing scheme of independent claim 1 and the novel element of the serial number data and the URL link associated with			
e-mail recommendation also associated to a URL.	chients in independent claim 40 involve the 10 warding dir		
The Examiner has taken the above arguments under adviser	nent and the Examiner welcomes a formal request for		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenda allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no cavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ments which the examiner agreed would render the claims opy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is		
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa	ate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).		
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMA INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPE already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROSUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	P section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has M THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required